

**From:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 2/28/2012 12:28:15 PM  
**To:** "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>  
**CC:** "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>; Richard Fetzer  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Fetzer, I am fine with the revised plans, and agree that not running PAHs is the correct choice as I mentioned in my earlier email to you. I recommend you approve the requests as submitted by Suddha.

---

Richard Rupert,  
On-Scene Coordinator  
U.S. EPA  
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 814-3463 - office  
215 514-8773 - mobile  
rupert.richard@epa.gov

**From:** "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>  
**To:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Cc:** Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>  
**Date:** 02/27/2012 05:40 PM  
**Subject:** Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Rupert,

Based upon the recommendations submitted by Stevie Wilding on 2/27/12, I have attached the revised analytical requests for your review and approval.

I have made all the recommended changes noted by her, except for the PAH-SIM analysis. I would think that since Region 3 is currently not able to perform this analysis, there would not be ample time to get another Region to perform the analysis. Also, since it wasn't performed in the Jan – Feb 2012 sampling, we probably would not want to add it now. Your thoughts?

If you agree with the requests and to not perform the PAH-SIM analysis, please let me know and we will formally submit the analytical request to Ft. Meade.

Thanks,

Suddha Graves  
**TechLaw, Inc.**  
2208 Warwood Ave  
Wheeling, WV 26003  
304-230-1230 (office)  
304-830-1441 (cell)  
304-232-5006 (fax)

[attachment "March Draft R3\_sg.doc" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "March Draft R9 & R2\_sg.doc" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "Dimock RLS\_March 2012.XLS" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US]